

Minutes

Ordinary Council Wednesday, 15th March, 2023

Attendance

Cllr Mrs Francois (Mayor)	Cllr Jakobsson
Cllr Aspinell	Cllr Kendall
Cllr Barber	Cllr Laplain
Cllr Barrett (Deputy Mayor)	Cllr Mrs Murphy
Cllr Dr Barrett	Cllr Mynott
Cllr Bridge	Cllr Naylor
Cllr S Cloke	Cllr Parker
Cllr M Cuthbert	Cllr Mrs Pearson
Cllr Mrs Davies	Cllr Poppy
Cllr Mrs Fulcher	Cllr Reed
Cllr Fryd	Cllr Russell
Cllr Gelderbloem	Cllr Sankey
Cllr Haigh	Cllr Slade
Cllr Hirst	Cllr Wagland
Cllr Mrs Hones	Cllr White
Cllr Hossack	Cllr Wiles

Apologies

Cllr Heard	Cllr Tanner
Cllr Lewis	

Not present

Cllr N Cuthbert

Officers Present

Greg Campbell	- Director - Policy and Delivery
Marcus Hotten	- Director - Environment
Claire Mayhew	- Corporate Manager (Democratic Services) and Deputy Monitoring Officer
Jonathan Stephenson	- Chief Executive
Steve Summers	- Strategic Director
Emily Yule	- Strategic Director

LIVE BROADCAST

[Live broadcast to start at 7pm and available for repeat viewing.](#)

409. Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from Cllrs Lewis, Cllr Heard and Cllr Tanner.

410. Public apology by Member of the Council

Councillor Mynott gave a public apology through the Mayor to the Council for comments he made at an Ordinary Council meeting on 25th January 2022.

411. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest at this stage.

412. Mayors Announcements

“Since the new year, I have undertaken a number of engagements in and around the Borough. In January, I attended 2 Holocaust events in and outside of the Borough. This was a very moving event and it is so important that we remember the Holocaust - and the other genocides which have followed it.

In February, I hosted a Quiz Night in aid of my chosen charities. Thank you to those who attended for your support. I was pleased to raise almost £1000 at this event.

On Monday of this week, I was honoured to raise the Commonwealth Day flag and was the first Commonwealth Day presided over by His Majesty King Charles III as King and Head of the Commonwealth. The day also marked the tenth anniversary of the signing of the [Commonwealth Charter](#), which outlines the values and principles that unify the 56 Commonwealth countries, representing one-third of humanity.

I look forward to seeing many of you at my upcoming Civic Dinner this Friday where I will also be presenting 5 Civic Awards to those being recognised in for Services to the Community, Sports Coach of the Year and Sports Club of the Year.”

413. Minutes of the previous meeting

The Ordinary Council meeting held on 1st March 2023 were **APPROVED** as a true record. The minutes contain a summary of the meeting, to view the full meeting, please visit [Ordinary Council - YouTube](#)

Cllr Aspinell gave a vote of thanks on behalf of the Liberal Democrats Group to Mayor for the work she had undertaken in her role over the last few years in exceptional circumstances at times. This was seconded by Cllr Kendall.

414. Memorials or Petitions

No memorials or petitions were received.

415. Public Questions

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, a member of the public resident within the Borough may ask a maximum of two questions relating to the business of the Council providing notice has been received by 10.00am two working days before the relevant meeting.

Four Public Questions had been received and these were put to and responded to by the Leader of the Council, Cllr Hossack, as follows:

Although Mrs Gearon-Simm was not present to ask her questions, the Leader read out the question and gave his response so it was on record.

Mrs Gearon-Simm submitted two questions as follows:

1. *We are now required to provide photographic evidence for our right to vote”.*

Brentwood residents are required to pay council tax.

In the light of this Conservative governments' requirement, what will Brentwood Borough Council do to enable residents to participate in democracy?

Cllr Hossack responded as follows:

Brentwood Borough Council will be supplementing the Electoral Commissions national information programs through local awareness campaigns.

In addition, communication sent from the Electoral Registration Officer via the councils website, posters, social media and email newsletters contains information on voter ID to ensure electors have access to the correct voter ID or information on how to apply for a Voter Authority Certificate.

Leaflets will shortly be despatched to households with council tax bills and the prescribed voter ID information will be printed on electors poll cards together with information at the polling stations.

Electors can also contact the electoral services direct for additional assistance.

Mrs Gearon-Simm's second question was as follows:

- 1. An amendment to the housing bill that is presently awaiting its third reading in the Commons will require landlords to fix health hazards withing strict timeframes.*

This has been prompted by the failure of Rochdale Borough Housing to tackle the black mould in the house where two year old Awaab Ishak lived. This mould caused his death.

England's housing regulator will be given new powers to conduct inspections issue unlimited fines and charge landlords for emergency repairs.

I believe that his bill only applies to "social housing"?

If there is not a housing regulator in England for tenants who pay rent to private landlords in the free market, does Brentwood Borough Council inspect such properties?

Cllr Hossack responded as follows:

The Bill is the Social Housing (Regulation) Bill which aims to facilitate a new proactive consumer regulation regime by establishing a Social Housing Regulator. This will apply to social housing residents, however local authorities already regulate the private rented sector and there are powers to require repairs and to issue fixed penalties or prosecute for non-compliance.

The Government also plans a renters reform Bill for the private rented sector and to introduce other measures for the private rented sector, including a legally binding decent homes standard and a new property portal for landlords to provide information on all properties let.

There are also powers to introduce selective licensing of private rented homes to tackle problems of low housing demand or significant anti-social behaviour, however most private sector landlords in Brentwood have good standards and there are no current plans to introduce a selective licensing in the Borough.

Brentwood Borough Council will investigate complaints about private sector housing from tenants and enforce to improve standards where this is needed.

Mrs Smith submitted two questions as follows:

1. *What is the point of the public submitting objections (or ideas for compromises) to planning applications if they are completely disregarded in every respect and the plans voted through by the administration councillors who won't be affected?*

Mr Hossack responded as follows:

Planning applications undergo a consultation process in line with national requirements. This includes consulting statutory stakeholders, other interested bodies, as well as the ability for local residents to comment. The planning case officer considers these comments as part of the decision-making process, assessing the application and the comments received against national and local policy. It is important to remember that a consultation response or collection of responses do not form a referendum on whether development is supported or not.

The comments received are all considered, but these need to relate to planning reasons under policy in order to be given weight when determining the application. The case officer considers the merits of a proposal and makes a recommendation. Ward councillors on the Planning Committee consider this as part of decision-making, they may not agree but the outcome needs to be based on planning reasons. So, all comments are considered, by officers and members if an application reached committee. But that does not mean that the decision is always going to be supported by those who have made comments.

Mrs Smith's second question were as follows:

2. *Has Brentwood Council conducted an evaluation of the effects of the following policies on local council tenants or homeless people / potential Council tenants.*

"Reinvigorating Right to Buy"; NOT to alleviate the adverse effects on local council tenants of the bedroom tax; Voting for fixed term tenancies rather than secure tenancies.

Especially the possible effects on the vulnerable, those with complex needs, the disabled & sick, and carers or other disadvantaged groups.

Is there a possibility that any of these policies will be reversed and for what reason(s) after any such consideration or evaluation?"

Cllr Hossack responded as follows:

1. The Council follows all statutory responsibilities with respect to the Right to Buy as required by the Government. Where possible we use applicable receipts from Right to Buy sales to fund new affordable housing (including new council housing).

2. The Council works with partners to identify and support people who are struggling with their living costs. This includes financial support including Discretionary Housing Payments. Full details of help and support can be found here: <https://www.brentwood.gov.uk/cost-of-living>

3. The Council does not use fixed term tenancies for its council homes, and has no plans to do so at this stage.

416. Committee Chairs Reports and Members Questions

Any Member may ask a Chair a written or oral question on any matter in relation to which the Council has powers or duties or which affects the Council's area and which falls within the area of responsibility of the Chair's committee.

The period allowed for written and oral questions and answers will not exceed 60 minutes without leave of the Mayor.

Six written questions were received.

Cllr Naylor submitted two questions, his first question was as follows:

To the Chair of the Community, Environment & Enforcement Committee

At the Full Council meeting held on Wednesday 1st March, the Liberal Democrat Group raised concerns over the continued failure to repair/replace various items of equipment within our 'Flagship' play area at King George's Park. Notification of various defects has been presented through the appropriate channels on at least three separate occasions, dating back as far as October, 2022, but a satisfactory response has not yet been forthcoming.

Given our summer months are now approaching, bringing with them a much higher public usage of our outdoor facilities, can the Chair of the Community, Environment and Enforcement Committee provide a definitive date when the Zip Wire and Suspended Roundabout equipment will be fully operational.

Response from Cllr Russell, Chair of Community, Environment & Enforcement Committee

One zip wire is fully operational, the other zip wire was inspected at the end of January and was removed, new parts were promptly ordered. Some of the parts have been received and they are awaiting the delivery of the zip wire

cable, it is expected to arrive within the next couple of weeks. The manufacturer has provided an installation date of the end of April. In respect of the roundabout swing, one swing was removed at the request of a neighbouring property, claiming that it resulted in a lack of privacy. An alternative swing seat is being sourced with installation at the end of April.

Cllr Kendall submitted one questions. His question is as follows:

To the Chair of Community, Environment and Enforcement Committee

King George's Play area is promoted as a 'fully inclusive' environment for the enjoyment of residents and visitors alike and it is noted that apparatus specifically for those with disabilities features as part of the park's overall offering. However, it appears that some very fundamental operational considerations have been overlooked.

Use of the toilet facilities adjacent to the play areas is restricted to the seasonal opening times operated by the splash park with the only alternative being located at the pavilion site. This is especially problematic for mothers/carers of young or disabled children who require prompt access to toilet, baby changing and disabled facilities.

Can the Chair of the Community, Environment and Enforcement Committee please explain why access to the toilets adjacent to the play areas is restricted and what plans are in hand to increase accessibility to an all year-round basis.

Response from Cllr Russell, Chair of Community, Environment & Enforcement Committee

With regards to King George's playing field toilets, the disabled toilet in the main pavilion was closed due to repairs that were scheduled to take place on the flushing mechanism. These repairs have now been completed and this toilet is back open for use. With regards to the toilets opposite the play area, it has recently come to officers attention that during the construction phase of the splash pad, Essex and Suffolk Water imposed strict conditions around the installation of the new water mains on site. These conditions included that the splash pad plant room, toilets and kiosk must be fed from the same supply and could not be isolated from each other. This has resulted in the water supply to the toilets being cut off and the splash pad is drained down for the winter period. Officers are mindful that this is not an acceptable solution to this issue and are engaging with Essex & Suffolk water to determine a remedy that will allow the toilets to remain open all year round. The water to the toilets and the splash pad has now been reinstated for the 2023 season and these will shortly be open to the public whilst the discussions with Essex & Suffolk water continue.

Cllr Laplain submitted one question as follows:

To the Chair of Community, Environment and Enforcement Committee

Following an inspection of the play facilities at Warley, it was noted that two items of equipment are out of use / unusable. Can you please provide a date when we can expect these to be repaired. The first item is in the toddler section and the second is in the gym.

However, of more immediate concern, three of the child safety gates are no longer operating effectively. The springs designed to ensure they re-close after entry/exit have malfunctioned, leaving the gates wide open. These circumstances could easily result in a young child leaving the park parameters and wandering into the road. If not yet repaired, please confirm the date this vital maintenance work will be undertaken.

Response from Cllr Russell, Chair of Community, Environment & Enforcement Committee

The two items of equipment that you refer to were unfortunately vandalised, in the case of the gym item, an attempt to repair has been made but on further inspection, it has now been scheduled for removal in March. A replacement is on order, however the manufacturer has provided only a broad indicative lead time of approximately six months. It should be noted that these items of equipment are made to order, rather than supplied off the shelf. With regards to the item vandalised in the toddler area, parts have already arrived and will be repaired by the end of March. The gate tensioning has now been slightly readjusted to shut fully. It should be noted that recent annual inspection undertaken by the rules of society prevention of accidents accredited independent inspector stated that the gates shut too quickly and that tension should be reduced. This was done but then required a further fine tuning.

Cllr Naylor's second question was as follows:

To the Chair of Community, Environment and Enforcement Committee

River Road children's play area has had a swing broken for some considerable time, eventually being removed. Page 24 Can I have a response detailing why it has been left in an unusable condition for such a lengthy period of time, and the date it will be restored for the use of local families.

Response from Cllr Russell, Chair of Community, Environment & Enforcement Committee

Of the five swings available, four throughout that time have been fully functional. Therefore, the children's swings are still available. Originally, it was believed that the repair could be made to the equipment that would not involve the need to dismantle and reassemble the whole swing apparatuses and will have saved time and money. This is not proved to be the case and further parts have now been ordered to repair this item. An installation date

has now been provided by the manufacturer and officers continue to chase this confirmation of when the installation will take place.

Cllr Davies submitted one question as follows:

To the Chair of Community, Environment and Enforcement

Bishops Hall Park play area currently has at least three items of equipment unavailable for use. In the main area, a large 'Do not use' sign is placed against equipment that has been out of use for a lengthy period. Additionally, on other equipment there are two supporting posts remaining, but the accompanying middle section completely missing and in the toddler's section the tyre basin swing has disappeared.

This is a well-used play park, serving numerous residents from within Pilgrims Hatch and the wider area.

Can you confirm dates when the appropriate repairs / replacement will take place in order that the facilities can be brought back to an acceptable standard.

Response from Cllr Russell, Chair of Community, Environment & Enforcement Committee

Two of the items not in service were closed four weeks ago and parts of the mobilisers placed on order at the time. However, we are yet to have confirmation of a delivery date from the supplier. So, it will be wrong to provide a date this evening. The other piece of equipment is currently being assessed as to whether it is beyond repair. If so, it will be removed and replaced, and a replacement item purchased. Once it is clear whether repair or replacement is required, then a date will be provided. The swing item currently out of use was originally sourced from a supplier in the USA. Through enquiries, it had been established that it is not currently manufactured and given its age, it is unlikely we will be able to find a replacement. Therefore, it is scheduled for removal.

Cllr Fryd submitted one question as follows:

To the Chair of Community, Environment and Enforcement

Courage's play area is a welcome facility for local children, and especially those attending Shenfield St. Mary's school, which is adjacent. Whilst the toddler's area appears to be in satisfactory order at this moment, the section for older children has, for some time, looked extremely neglected.

On a recent inspection both the basket swing and cable runway are unusable, and a further piece of equipment has been removed from the site completely. The climbing equipment looks in a very poor state of repair, not helped that a component part, the slide, has disappeared entirely.

Please can you provide a timescale for this much used facility to be returned to full usage.

The order for a new seat for the runway was also placed at the start of January, this has already arrived – installation is planned by the end of March. The other cable runway is fully functional.

Response from Cllr Russell, Chair of Community, Environment & Enforcement Committee

The slide item design does not comply with British standards, and at the annual inspection, was deemed as is no longer fit for use. Therefore, it was removed to address the safety concerns. An equivalent item can not be procured as the design would not be acceptable by today's play standards. The basket swing parts were ordered at the start of January with the delivery and installation expected in April. The order for a new seat for the runway was also placed at the start of January and has already arrived. Installation is planned by the end of this month. The other cable runway is fully functional. Madam Mayor, with 489 pieces of play equipment in the borough, with less than 2% under maintenance this only demonstrates how effective our maintenance schedule is and how seriously we take the health and safety of not only our residents but the thousands of visitors that come to our superb facilities from outside the borough.

Cllrs Naylor and Cllr Aspinell at this stage raised that Mrs Smith's second question was missed from members agenda and would like this noted in the minutes.

Cllr Mynott put a question to the Chair of Planning Committee

Brentwood's approved local plan takes the borough's housing and economic needs as well as the needs of protecting our green belt past, present and future fully into account. Officer's reports since the local's plan adoption take the local plan fully into account. Why therefore does the Conservative administration which chose to adopt the local plan now see fit to ignore the local plan as an assessment of the boroughs housing and economic needs and so damagingly undermine both the local plan and Brentwood's vulnerable green belt.

Due to the Chair (Cllr Tanner) of Planning Committees absence, vice-chair, Cllr Barber responded:

Cllr Mynott is trying to reopen the debate over the recent planning application at the Gateway to Brentwood. The law in this country allows the planning committee to determine an outcome on any application. That application will also be presented to the secondary of state to be reviewed and therefore there are checks and balances. I understand that Cllr Mynott doesn't like the outcome of the jobs and employment to this borough and I understand he takes a contrary view to the majority of members on the planning committee

but frankly, you didn't win the argument on the night Cllr Mynott and reopening it here isn't really going to change anything.

Cllr Kendall put a question to the Chair of Policy, Resources and Economic Development Committee:

During the recent PRED meeting, there was an item regarding SNAP which is a marvellous charity in the borough and we were told that SNAP had lost a considerable amount of funding from Essex County Council. It is a substantial figure. I appreciate that this loss of funding isn't from this authority, it is from Essex but I wondered if with the Chair's agreement, if all members could be given some information as to why that funding has no longer been forthcoming and more importantly, what impact is that loss of funding going to have on the services that it provides because it will have an impact.

Cllr Hossack, Chair of Policy, Resources and Economic Development Committee responded:

I think the answer is within the question, it isn't our authority so I would advise Cllr Kendall that there are two bodies relating to your question. One is Essex County Council, the other one is SNAP itself so my advice is to write to the cabinet member for children and families to get a response to them for your question because clearly I am not in a position to respond to that. If you want to know what the impact is on SNAP, in terms of loss funding, then I would encourage you to speak to SNAP because it is not for me to comment on what that might be. I wouldn't have a detailed enough response. That is the only response I can give in light of the fact, as Cllr Kendall pointed out, it has not been in the brief or remit of this organisation.

Cllr Kendall put a question to the Chair of Housing:

Was the Chair aware that the plans to develop a number of the garage sites in the borough was actually something that had started under the accord administration all those years ago. I say this because I know it something cross-party that we are all in favour of but I didn't want you thinking it was just a Conservative idea and it was something that came from the accord and I am sure in your interest of fairness Chair, you would want to make sure that we were all acquainted fully with that fact.

Cllr White, Chair of Housing Committee responded:

I would like to thank Cllr Kendall, first of all the opportunity to get to my feet. Before I answer his question, I would like to highlight what was in my Chair's response, which is that Brentwood is the national winners of the competition which we took part in which was the iESE public transformation awards and I would like to congratulate the housing team and the SHDP programme for their efforts and congratulate them on actually putting us and our authority as leaders in the country for developing zero carbon in use homes and all the benefits that those are going to bring. Cllr Kendall, in terms of your helpful

history lesson, I don't remember the detail but I do remember some of the work that took place at the time, thank you.

Cllr Laplain put a question to the Chair of Planning.

I have had many residents ask me questions regarding the participation of people on the planning committee and whether or not they participate in meetings extra to those held within the committee, i.e. with planners or agents or both outside of the committee meetings. Do they hold these meetings and if so, are they minuted and are they in the presence of officers.

Due to Cllr Tanner's absence as Chair of Planning, vice-chair Cllr Barber responded:

As far as I own, in terms of myself, I don't hold meetings with the applicants. I only meet with officers in advance and in meetings that you attend and on site visits that you attend. So no, I am not aware of any of those such meetings.

Cllr Naylor made a point of information to Cllr Russell response to his question. There is a typo, it should be June 2022, not October 2022.

Cllr Naylor put a question regarding the Chair's report.

Unfortunately, the transport minister has made some cuts to Lower Thames Crossing and of particular disappointment to me, to Active England and HS2. Ultimately, this will probably end up delaying these projects and pushing costs up but on the Lower Thames Crossing, we have the new woodland in Hull Farm and I was wondering whether these cuts or costs saving would have any affect on Hull Farm and also on Active England, the budget has been cut quite severely, whether any potential cycling routes that Essex or Brentwood have in mind will see fruition given the cuts to Active England.

Cllr Hossack, Chair of Policy, Resources and Economic Development responded:

It is a minimal response as this question is outside of the remit of this organisation. The only official line that I have seen from Lower Thames Crossing is that the government have chosen to rephrase, I am not aware that there were cuts. It is rephrasing which effectively means it is delayed by two years. I am not aware of Hull Farm or any local projects relating to LTC.

Cllr Aspinell put a question to the Chair of Community, Enforcement and Environment Committee:

Regarding Courage's Playing fields, it was padlocked off for some time. I believe it still is, diggers were in there taking up the base of play equipment. Can I ask when this went to committee and what did we discuss about it because it must have been before I joined the committee last month because it hasn't been since I have been on committee.

Cllr Russell, Chair of Community, Enforcement and Environment responded:

It wouldn't have gone to committee as it is an operational matter, it was closed off for a period because there were two items damaged. As I mentioned earlier, one was not to safety standards and the whole area, there was lots of trip hazards with concrete in the ground. The whole area was chained off and not to be used.

417. Corporate Strategy 2020-2025 Annual Review

The report updated members on the progress of the Corporate Strategy 2020–2025 and presented an annual review document for approval.

Members acknowledged and thanked the work of officers for all that had been undertaken.

Councillor Barber wanted to thank retiring Councillor Hossack, Leader of the Council, for how he had led the Council and his vision for the Borough.

During the discussion, Cllr Barber read out a Freedom of Information request he submitted from Essex County Council on member led pothole initiatives. This stated that only 1 had been received from Cllr Aspinell on behalf of Brentwood North division for Essex County Council. Councillor Aspinell disputed this that there had been a failure in the system and this information should never have been publicly available as it was incorrect and he had reported over 50 potholes in his division.

Cllr Hossack **MOVED** and Cllr Poppy **SECONDED** the recommendations in the report. A vote was taken and it was **RESOLVED**.

Members were asked:

R1. To approve the Draft Corporate Strategy 2022/23 Annual Review as set out in Appendix A.

R2. To delegate authority to the Chief Executive in consultation with the Leader and Deputy Leader to make any minor amendments to Appendix A including decisions of other committees during March 2023.

Reasons for Recommendation

The Council is required to have and publish a Corporate Strategy

The Council requires sound strategic direction to deliver its objectives.

Cllr Barber expressed his thanks to the Leader of the Council, Cllr Hossack for the way he has led this council over the past few years.

418. Annual Update Report - OneTeam Transformation Programme Strategic Partnership with Brentwood Borough Council

The report provided the first annual update on progress of the OneTeam Transformation Programme for February 2022 to January 2023. It included information on the governance arrangements, the service reviews and set up arrangements.

The report also identified that a saving of £290,000 across both authorities should be made by 2024/25 following recruitment at Tier 1 to 3. And further savings of £42,000 from HR service changes, £24,000 through initial ICT changes and a one off saving of £1,900 due to shared resource around the UK Shared Prosperity Fund have been achieved.

Other benefits highlighted included the close working arrangements that can be attributed to Electoral Services, a joint Environment Officer and more effective Wi-Fi at our buildings. This has created resiliency in the service and will develop the one team working ethos and generate efficiencies and therefore further savings.

Other initiatives worked on jointly included the campaign to assist and sign post residents and businesses to help with the issues around the Cost-of-Living. Not an easy issue to deal with but one that both authorities were recognised for with the Director Of Communities & Health Being asked to present at conference and provide our product to the Local Government Association via a case study.

There have been 13 services from phase 1 underway with a number due for completion around March 2023 with phase 2 due to start in May 2023.

The above savings would be very unlikely without the formation of the partnership.

This item was for information only and no vote was required.

419. Notice of Motion

One notice of motion was received, as detailed in the report.

Motion 1 – Received on 24th February 2023 @ 22:51

Mover: Cllr Barber

Seconder: Cllr Russell

We believe the ULEZ expansion to be a regressive tax inflicted upon the poorest motorists and is to the detriment of residents living here in Brentwood.

Working people do not choose to drive older, more polluting vehicles out of choice. Placing an additional financial burden on them with a £12.50 charge every time that they need to use their cars to travel into Greater London will hit hard working families with a tax grab by the Mayor of London that will

result in real hardship for those who need to work, travel to hospital or visit and support friends and relatives living within the proposed expanded zone but are unable afford to replace their cars.

This Council opposes the expansion proposal and supports Essex County Council in not co-operating with the installation of any signage to support such an expansion.

An **AMENDMENT** to the motion was **MOVED** by Cllr Kendall **SECONDED** by Cllr Naylor. This was not accepted by the original mover Cllr Barber. The amendment read as:

This Council opposes the expansion proposal “during the cost of living crisis, and whilst Brentwood residents and businesses who commute in to the expanded ULEX zone for health, family, social and business reasons, have no access to car or van scrappage schemes, or decent public transport links”.

This Council also supports Essex County Council in not co-operating with the installation of any signage to support such an expansion “until the following issues have been resolved”

“This Council believes that any Brentwood resident/business who had a non-compliant car or van and has a legitimate reason for driving in to the new ULEZ zone should be able to claim a grant under the scrappage scheme.

We call for:

- The scrappage scheme to be expanded to all the District and Borough authorities on the boundary to the new expanded ULEX zone.
- An increase in the amount of financing available for the scrappage scheme to enable this expansion.
- Funding provided by TFL and the Government to improve the public transport and cycle routes connecting to London Boroughs from all the Districts and Borough authorities on the boundary with ULEZ.

Following a full discussion, a recorded vote on the motion was requested by Cllrs: Kendall, M Cuthbert, Davies, Naylor and Mynott.

FOR:

Cllrs: Barrett, Aspinell, Dr Barrett, Cloke, M Cuthbert, Davies, Fryd, Fulcher, Haigh, Kendall, Laplain, Mynott, Naylor and Sankey (14)

AGAINST:

Cllrs: Francois, Barber, Bridge, Gelderbloem, Hirst, Hones, Jakobsson, Murphy, Parker, Pearson, Poppy, Reed, Russell, Slade, Wagland, White and Wiles (17)

The **AMEDNDED MOTION** was **LOST**.

Members debated the substantive motion and a vote was taken on the **SUBSTANTIVE MOTION**. A recorded vote on the motion was requested by Cllrs: Bridge, Hones, Wagland, Parker and Barber.

FOR:

Cllrs: Francois, Barber, Bridge, Gelderbloem, Hirst, Hones, Jakobsson, Murphy, Parker, Pearson, Poppy, Reed, Russell, Slade, Wagland, White and Wiles (17)

ABSTAIN:

Cllrs: Barrett, Aspinell, Dr Barrett, Cloke, M Cuthbert, Davies, Fryd, Fulcher, Haigh, Kendall, Laplain, Mynott, Naylor and Sankey (14)

The **SUBSTANTIVE MOTION** was **CARRIED**.

420. Urgent Business

The Mayor thanked all councillors for their work and expressed thanks to those not standing for election this year.

Thanks was given from across the Chamber to the retiring Leader, Cllr Hossack for the work he has done over the past 20 years as a Councillor and 4 years as Leader of the Council.

There were no items of urgent business to discuss.

421. Exemption of press and public

The public were excluded from the meeting for the item of business on the grounds that the disclosure of exempt information as defined in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 was involved.

422. EXEMPT - Disposal of Freehold

The public were excluded from the meeting for the item of business on the grounds that the disclosure of exempt information as defined in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 was involved.

A Motion was **MOVED** by Cllr Hossack and **SECONDED** by Cllr Poppy to agree the recommendation in the report.

A vote was taken by a show of hands and it was **RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY**.

The meeting concluded at 9.38pm